UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/893,888	06/29/2001	Anil Vasudevan	219.40060X00	4965
23838 KENYON & K	7590 08/25/200 ENYON LLP	EXAMINER		
1500 K STREE		BAROT, BHARAT		
SUITE 700 WASHINGTO	N, DC 20005		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2155	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/25/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/893,888 VASUDEVAN, ANIL		
Examiner	Art Unit	
Bharat N. Barot	2155	

В	harat N. Barot	2155	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence addi	ess
THE REPLY FILED <u>12 August 2008</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPI	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the application, applicant must timely file one of the following rep application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFF periods:	e same day as filing a Notice of A lies: (1) an amendment, affidavit (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid aban ., or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisor no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).	sory Action, or (2) the date set forth i than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	date of the final rejectio	n.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on whave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extensional strength of the shore and continuous and the of the shore forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later that may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	sion and the corresponding amount of tened statutory period for reply origin	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	te extension fee e action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliar filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within AMENDMENTS 	on thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but (a) They raise new issues that would require further consider. 			cause
 (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better appeal; and/or 	·		e issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a corn NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.116		cted claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	See attached Notice of Non-Cor	mpliant Amendment (F	PTOL-324).
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allow non-allowable claim(s).		imely filed amendmen	t canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: None. Claim(s) objected to: None. Claim(s) rejected: 1-16 and 18-24. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: None.		be entered and an ex	planation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and so was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Nentered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to over showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary ar	come <u>all</u> rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fails	to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		•	
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but do	pes NOT place the application in	condition for allowand	ce because:
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (PT 13. ☑ Other: For PTO-1449/IDS filed on September 20, 2001 see	, , ,	<u>, 2008</u> .	
	/Bharat N Barot/ Primary Examiner, Art U (571) 272-3979	nit 2155	

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Applicant file amenment after final rejection by changing the scop of invention that the transmission of the acknowledgement packet within the server environment in the independent claims 1, 8, and 15; and adding a limitation "another communication path" in the independent claim 15, which require further consideration and search.